DGSEM Approximation of a Well-Posed Overset Grid Formulation for Hyperbolic Systems
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Overset grid methods have long been used to simplify the application of numerical methods to complex geometric configurations. They approximate the solutions of PDEs by splitting a domain into multiple overlapping subdomains and approximating the equations on each subdomain with coupling conditions to keep them consistent with the original single domain problem. The expectation is that the approximate solutions on the overlapping subdomains converge to the solution of the original problem on the full domain.

Stability of the coupling procedures has been a practical and theoretical issue with overset mesh methods [1], and fully multidimensional stability proofs are not available. One of the issues with finding a general stability proof is that the original initial-boundary value problem (IBVP) on which the approximation is based needs to be well-posed in the first place. It turns out that in multiple space dimensions, commonly used characteristic one-way coupling conditions do not lead to a well-posed overset domain problem, making it impossible to construct a convergent numerical approximation. To remedy that, we recently developed [2] a well-posed and conservative formulation for linear hyperbolic systems that uses penalty terms and two-way coupling to ensure that the overset problem is energy bounded and has a solution identical to the original. The formulation is flexible in that it allows one to weight the contributions of the multiple solutions in the overlap regions to reflect, for instance, the fact that one approximation may be more accurate than another due to different resolutions.

In this work, we study approximate solutions of the novel formulation using a discontinuous Galerkin spectral element approximation (DGSEM) [3],[4]. As an example, we show here an approximation of a solution to the wave equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}_t + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}_x = 0 \quad x \in \Omega = [0, 7]$$

(1)

on overlapping domains $\Omega_\alpha$ and $\Omega_\beta$, as sketched in Fig. 1 with $a = 0$, $b = 2.5$, $c = 5.2$ and $d = 7$. Solutions at $t = 24$ are compared to the exact in Fig. 2. For this smooth solution, Fig. 2 shows that convergence on both grids is exponential, as desired.

In the presentation we will show how to apply the DGSEM to the novel overset domain problem, and present further numerical results assessing the weighting of the domains and the effect that additional coupling in the interior of the overlap domain has.
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Fig. 1  Overset domain definitions in 1D

Fig. 2  Left: Exact and computed solutions for a sinusoidal problem. Vertical lines mark the element boundaries. The horizontal line marks the overlap region. Right: Convergence of the $L^2$ error as a function of polynomial order.
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