HOW VALID IS YOUR SIMULATION? LEARN TO APPLY METAMORPHIC TESTING TO INCREASE CONFIDENCE ON YOUR SIMULATION

Mohammad Raunak
Loyola University Maryland
Baltimore, MD, USA
raunak@loyola.edu

Megan Olsen
Loyola University Maryland
Baltimore, MD, USA
mmolsen@loyola.edu

ABSTRACT

A simulation model must be validated for its results to be reliable. However, simulation validation, i.e. demonstrating that it accurately represents the system it studies, is a challenging task. One of the primary sources of this challenge is the absence of an oracle to test its validity. Without an oracle, one cannot determine if the result of a program is correct. This oracle problem has long been studied in software engineering, and Metamorphic Testing has been found to be an effective technique to test software without an oracle by creating pairs of test cases that act as pseudo-oracles. In our work, we provide guidelines on applying a modified version of metamorphic testing to increase confidence in the validity of simulation models. In this technique, pseudo-oracles are developed based on metamorphic relations between parameters and behaviors within an executable simulation model. These relations represent an understood property of the system being studied, which can be used to check the validity of the simulation without knowing the correct answer. In this tutorial, we will explain metamorphic testing and how it applies to simulation model validation. We will introduce the participants to the process of identifying metamorphic relations in underlying systems that the simulation models represent. We will also discuss the guidelines for applying metamorphic testing in two prevalent simulation approaches: agent-based, and discrete-event simulation modeling. In addition to demonstrating the application of metamorphic testing towards validating example simulation models, we will walk the participants through a guided, hands-on application of these ideas. Participants will be invited to either use their own model for this hands-on aspect, or a provided example model.
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